



JSON OR NOT JSON

THAT IS THE QUESTION

http://www.sai.msu.su/~megera/postgres/talks/jsonb-spb-2021.pdf

Oleg Bartunov Nikita Glukhov



JSON OR NOT JSON

THAT IS THE QUESTION

http://www.sai.msu.su/~megera/postgres/talks/jsonb-spb-2021.pdf






Research scientist @ Moscow University CEO Postgres Professional Major PostgreSQL contributor



Nikita Glukhov





Senior developer @Postgres Professional PostgreSQL contributor

gresPro

Poš

Major CORE contributions:

- Jsonb improvements
- SQL/JSON (Jsonpath)
- KNN SP-GiST
- Opclass parameters

Current development:

- SQL/JSON functions
- Jsonb performance

Why this talk ?

• My 20+ years interest and experience in extending RDBMS


- Arrays, hstore (2003), full text search, inexact search, index access methods (GiST, GIN, SP-GiST), spatial data (astronomy), now JSONB (2014), SQL/JSON
- JSONB is better JSON, performance is more important than compatibility
- Popular microservices, clouds, startups
 - Ubiquitous format for data interchange, storing API messages (XML is too much)
 - Simple database design (simple queries) , support of Agile development
 - Data migration (schema evolution). Old applications can easy accept new data.
 - Compact storage of metadata one column for all
 - Client app, backend, database one format, all server side languages support JSON, now SQL support JSON, JSON relaxed code-centric vs data-centric
- Rash use of JSONB :)



Postgres breathed a second life into relational databases

- Postgres innovation the first relational database with NoSQL support
- NoSQL Postgres attracts the NoSQL users
- JSON became a part of SQL Standard 2016

Relative Growth




JSONB Popularity - CREATE TABLE qq (js JSONB)

State of PostgreSQL 2021 (Survey)

Top 3 features used to organize and access data in production apps

JSON/JSONB, Event triggers, and Non-btree indexes are the top 3 features respondents use in their production apps.

View full question



Pgsql telegram (6170) — 26.02.2021 https://t.me/pgsql

- SELECT 8061/312083
- SQL 4473/144789
- JSON[B] 3116/88234
- TABLE 2997/129936
- JOIN 2345/108860
- INDEX 1519/74327
- BACKUP 1484/42618
- VACUUM 1470/53919
- REPLICA 707/31036



Popular mistake: CREATE TABLE qq (jsonb)

(id, {...}::jsonb) vs ({id,...}::jsonb)

SELECT expr FROM test_toast; SELECT expr FROM test_toast; number of chunks number of chunks 10 100 10 100 master master 30 1000expression execution time, µs blocks read by expr expr 100 id ib->>'id' 10 jb->'a'->>0 1 0 100 1K 2K 10K 100K 1M 10M 100 1K 2K 10K 100K 1M 10M raw jsonb size, bytes raw jsonb size, bytes **Poš**/gresPro Large jsonb is TOASTed !

JSONB Projects: What we were working on

- SQL/JSON functions (SQL-2016) and JSON_TRANSFORM
- Generic JSON API (GSON). Jsonb as a SQL Standard JSON data type.
- Better jsonb indexing (Jsquery GIN opclasses)
- Parameters for jsonb operators (planner support functions for Jsonb)
- JSONB selective indexing (Jsonpath as parameter for jsonb opclasses)
- Jsonpath syntax extension
- Simple Dot-Notation Access to JSON Data

Current TOP-priority project

- SQL/JSON functions (SQL-2016) and JSON_TRANSFORM
- Generic JSON API. Jsonb as a SQL Standard JSON data type.
- Better jsonb indexing (Jsquery GIN opclasses)
- Parameters for jsonb operators (planner support functions for Jsonb)
- JSONB selective indexing (Jsonpath as parameter for jsonb opclasses)
- Jsonpath syntax extension
- Simple Dot-Notation Access to JSON Data
- •JSONB 1st-class citizen in Postgres
 - Efficient storage, select, update, API



Top-priority: JSONB - 1st-class citizen in Postgres

- Popularity of JSONB it's mature data type, rich functionality
- Startups use Postgres and don't care about compatibility to Oracle/MS SQL
 - Jsonpath is important and committed
 - There is rich user API to Jsonb, so SQL/JSON functions are not in top-priority list
- Not enough resources in community (developers, reviewers, committers)
 - SQL/JSON 4 years, 59 versions
 - JSON/Table 4 years, 52 versions
 - Waiting for PG15
- We concentrate on efficient storage, select, update (OLTP+OLAP)
 - Extendability of JSONB format
 - Extendability of TOAST data type aware TOAST, TOAST for non-atomic attributes



The Curse of TOAST. Unpredictable performance

Small update cause	10 times slowdown !
--------------------	---------------------

=# EXPLAIN(ANALYZE, BUFFERS) SELECT jb->'id' FROM test; QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on test (cost=0.00..2625.00 rows=10000 width=32) (actual time=0.014..6.128 rows=10000 loops=1) Buffers: shared hit=**2500** Planning: Buffers: shared hit=5 Planning Time: 0.087 ms Execution Time: **6.583 ms** (6 rows)

=# UPDATE test SET jb = jb || '{"bar": "baz"}'; =# VACUUM FULL test; -- remove old versions

=# EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, BUFFERS) SELECT jb->'id' FROM test; QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on test (cost=0.00..2675.40 rows=10192 width=32) (actual time=0.067..65.511 rows=10000 loops=1) Buffers: shared hit=**30064** Planning Time: 0.044 ms Execution Time: **66.889 ms** (4 rows) Row gets TOASTed ! See TOAST explained slides



The Curse of TOAST

• Original JSONBs stored inline in heap tuples (2500 pages with 4 tuples per page):

```
CREATE EXTENSION pageinspect;
SELECT lp_len FROM heap_page_items(get_raw_page('test', 0));
lp_len
2022
2022
2022
2022
2022
2022
(4 rows)
```

• JSONBs after update became larger than 2K and postgres replaced them by pointer to special TOAST relation (see TOAST explained slides), so the tuple length is greatly decreased (64 pages with 157 tuples per page):

```
SELECT lp_len FROM heap_page_items(get_raw_page('test', 0));
lp_len
42
42
42
156 rows)
```



The Curse of TOAST

```
• JSONB data has moved into TOAST relation:
```

```
SELECT reltoastrelid::regclass toast_rel FROM pg_class
WHERE oid = 'test'::regclass;
        toast_rel
        pg_toast.pg_toast_16460
(1 row)
```


 Each JSONB is splitted into two TOAST chunks, that implicitly joined by index to attribute, when its value is fetched. Chunks belonging to the one attribute has the same chunk_id, which stored in TOAST pointer:

SELECT chun chunk_id	k_id, chunk_ chunk_seq	_seq, leng† length	th(chunk_data)	FROM pg_toast.pg	g_toast_16460;
16466 16466 16467 16467	0 1 0 1 	1996 10 1996 10			
(20000 row					



The Curse of TOAST

- Access to TOASTed JSONB requires reading at least 3 additional buffers:
 - 2 TOAST index buffers (B-tree height is 2)
 - 1 TOAST heap buffer
 - 2 chunks read from the same page, if JSONB size > Page size (8Kb), then more TOAST heap buffers




TOAST Explained

The Oversized-Attribute Storage Technique

- TOASTed (large field) values are compressed, then splitted into the fixed-size TOAST chunks (1996B for 8KB page)
- TOAST chunks (along with generated Oid chunk_id and sequnce number chunk_seq) stored in special TOAST relation

pg_toast.pg_toast_XXX, created
for each table containing
TOASTable attributes

 Attribute in the original heap tuple is replaced with TOAST pointer (18 bytes) containing chunk_id, toast_relid, raw_size, compressed_size




PosgresPro

https://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/storage-toast.html

TOAST access

• TOAST pointers does not refer to heap tuples with chunks directly. Instead they contains Oid chunk_id, so one need to descent by index (chunk_id, chunk_seq).



Overhead to read only a few bytes from the first chunk is 3,4 or even 5 additional index blocks.




TOAST passes


- Tuple is TOASTed if its size is more than 2KB (1/4 of page size).
- There are 4 TOAST passes.
- At the each pass considered only attributes of the specific storage type (extended/external or main) starting from the largest one.
- Plain attributes are not TOASTed and not compressed at all.
- The process can stop at every step, if the resulting tuple size becomes less than 2KB.
- If the attributes were copied from the other table, they can already be compressed or TOASTed.
- TOASTed attributes are replaced with TOAST pointers.



• Only "extended" and "external" attributes are considered, "extended" attributes are compressed. If their size is more than 2KB, they are TOASTed.




- Only "extended" and "external" attributes (that were not TOASTed in the previous pass) are considered.
- Each attribute is TOASTed, until the resulting tuple size < 2KB.






- Only "main" attributes are considered.
- Each attribute is compresed, until the resulting tuple size < 2KB.



- Only "main" attributes are considered.
- Each attribute is TOASTed, until the resulting tuple size < 2KB.





Motivational example (synthetic test)

• A table with 100 jsonbs of different sizes (130B-13MB, compressed to 130B-247KB):


```
CREATE TABLE test_toast AS
SELECT
i id,
jsonb_build_object(
    'key1', i,
    'key2', (select jsonb_agg(0) from
            generate_series(1, pow(10, 1 + 5.0 * i / 100.0)::int)),-- 10-100k elems
    'key3', i,
    'key4', (select jsonb_agg(0) from
            generate_series(1, pow(10, 0 + 5.0 * i / 100.0)::int)) -- 1-10k elems
) jb
FROM generate_series(1, 100) i;
```

- Each jsonb looks like: key1, loooong key2, key3, long key4.
- We measure execution time of operator ->(jsonb, text) for each row by repeating it 1000 times in the query:

SELECT jb -> 'keyN', jb -> 'keyN', ... jb -> 'keyN' FROM test_toast WHERE id = ?;


Motivational example (synthetic test)

Key access time for TOASTed jsonbs linearly increase with jsonb size, regardless of key size and position.



TOAST performance problems (synthetic test)

Key access time for TOASTed jsonbs linearly increase with jsonb size, regardless of key size and position.




Motivational example (IMDB test)

- Real-world JSON data extracted from IMDB database (imdb-22-04-2018-json.dump.gz)
- Typical IMDB «name» document looks like:

• There are many other infrequent fields, but only id, imdb_id are mandatory, and roles array is the **biggest** and most frequent (see next slide).




IMDB data set field statistics






Motivational example (IMDB test)

SELECT jb -> 'key' FROM imdb.names;







Motivation

- Decompression is the biggest problem. Big overhead of decompression of the whole jsonb limits the applicability of jsonb as document storage with partial access.
 - Need partial decompression
- Toast introduces additional overhead read too many block
 - Read only needed blocks partial detoast




Jsonb deTOAST improvements

- Partial (prefix) decompression
- Sort jsonb object key by their length good for short metadata
- Partial deTOASTing using TOAST iterators decompress chunk by chunk
- Inline TOAST store in heap tuple data from the first chunk
- Shared TOAST store in heap tuple uncompresed short keys, compress chunks separately, share common chunks
 - Access
 - Update share
 - In-place update don't copy shared chunks if length is not changed, store new value inline if possible




Step-by-step results (access key, synthetic)





Step-by-step results (access key, IMDB)




SELECT jb -> 'key' FROM imdb.names;



Popular mistake: CREATE TABLE qq (jsonb)

(id, {...}::jsonb) vs ({id,...}::jsonb)



JSONB partial update

TOAST was originally designed for atomic data types, it knows nothing about internal structure of composite data types like jsonb, hstore, and even ordinary arrays.

TOAST works only with binary BLOBs, it does not try to find differencies between old and new values of updated attributes. So, when the TOASTed attribute is being updated (does not matter at the beginning or at the end and how much data is changed), its chunks are simply fully copied. The consequences are:

- TOAST storage is duplicated
- WAL traffic is increased in comparison with updates of non-TOASTED attributes, because the whole TOASTed values is logged
- Performance is too low



JSONB partial update: The problem

```
Example: table with 10K jsonb objects with 1000 keys { "1": 1, "2": 2, ... }.
```

```
CREATE TABLE t AS
SELECT i AS id, (SELECT jsonb_object_agg(j, j) FROM generate_series(1, 1000) j) js
FROM generate_series(1, 10000) i;
```

```
SELECT oid::regclass AS heap_rel,
    pg_size_pretty(pg_relation_size(oid)) AS heap_rel_size,
    reltoastrelid::regclass AS toast_rel,
    pg_size_pretty(pg_relation_size(reltoastrelid)) AS toast_rel_size
FROM pg_class WHERE relname = 't';
```

```
heap_rel | heap_rel_size | toast_rel | toast_rel_size
t | 512 kB | pg_toast.pg_toast_27227 | 78 MB
```

```
Each 19 KB jsonb is compressed into 6 KB and stored in 4 TOAST chunks.
```

```
SELECT pg_column_size(js) compressed_size, pg_column_size(js::text::jsonb) orig_size from t limit 1;
compressed_size | original_size
6043 | 18904
SELECT chunk_id, count(chunk_seq) FROM pg_toast.pg_toast_47235 GROUP BY chunk_id LIMIT 1;
chunk_id | count
57241 | 4
```

JSONB partial update: The problem

First, let's try to update of non-TOASTED int column id:

SELECT pg_current_wal_lsn(); --> 0/157717F0

```
UPDATE t SET id = id + 1; -- 42 ms
```

```
SELECT pg_current_wal_lsn(); --> 0/158E5B48
```

```
SELECT pg_size_pretty(pg_wal_lsn_diff('0/158E5B48','0/157717F0')) AS wal_size;
wal_size
```

```
- - - - - - - - - - -
```

```
1489 kB (150 bytes per row)
```



JSONB partial update: The problem

Next, let's try to update of TOASTED jsonb column js:

```
SELECT pg_current_wal_lsn(); --> 0/158E5B48
```

UPDATE t **SET** js = js - '1'; -- 12316 ms (was 42 ms, ~300x slower)

SELECT pg_current_wal_lsn(); --> 0/1DB10000

SELECT pg_size_pretty(pg_wal_lsn_diff('0/1DB10000','0/158E5B48')) AS wal_size;
wal_size

```
130 MB (13 KB per row; was 1.5 MB, ~87x more)
```




Partial update using Shared TOAST

- The previous optimizations are great for SELECT, but don't help with UPDATE, since TOAST consider jsonb as an atomic binary blob change part, copy the whole.
- Idea: Keep INLINE short fields (*uncompressed*) and TOAST pointers to long fields to let update short fields without modification of TOAST chunks, which will be shared between versions.
- Currently, this works only for root objects fields, so the longest fields of jsonb object are TOASTed until the whole tuple fits into the page (typically, remaining size of jsonb becomes < ~2000 bytes).
- But this technique can also be applied to array elements or element ranges. We plan to try to implement it later, it needs more invasive jsonb API changes.
- Currently, jsonb hook is hardcoded into TOAST pass #1, but in the future it will become custom datatype TOASTer using pg_type.typtoast.




Shared TOAST – tuple structure

- In this example two largest fields of jsonb are TOASTed separately
- TOASTed jsonb contains two TOAST pointers
- Operators like -> can simply return TOAST pointer as external datum, accessing only the inline part of jsonb



Shared TOAST – in-place updates


- Copying of shared TOASTs can be avoided when the size and type of updated part is not changed – there is no need to rewrite JEntries, only the value needs to be replaced
- jsonb_set() checks this special case accessing only the minimal header part needed for fetching offset, length and type of the old value
- If the length is not changed, created "diff" TOAST pointer with offset and new value



Shared TOAST – in-place update results (synthetic)

Update time of array elements depends on their position:


- first elements updated very fast (like inline fields)
- last elements updated slower (need to read the whole JEntry array)



Shared TOAST – in-place update results (synthetic)


Number of blocks read depends on element position:

- first elements do not require reading of additional blocks
- last elements require reading the whole JEntry array (4B * array size)




Shared TOAST – in-place update results (synthetic)


- WAL size of in-place updates is <u>almost independent</u> on element position
- Only inline data with TOAST pointer diff are logged




JSONB vs Relational: access whole document



JSONB vs Relational: access key, update




JSONB vs Relational: access key slowdown




PoseresPro


JSONB vs Relational: update key slowdown




JSONB vs Relational: update key WAL




JSONB vs Relational: access array member



JSONB vs Relational: update array member



JSONB vs Relational: WAL update array member



Conclusions

- JSONB is good
 - Full object access faster than relational way (joins, aggregate, difficult tuning)
 - Storing short metadata as a separate jsonb field
 - Ubiquitous format for data interchange, storing API messages (XML is too much)
 - Simple database design (simple queries) , support of Agile development
 - Data migration (schema evolution). Old applications can easy accept new data.
 - Client app, backend, database one format, all server side languages support JSON, now SQL support JSON, JSON relaxed code-centric vs data-centric
- Currently not optimized for
 - TOASTed jsonb (updates)
 - Access to array members
- There are promising results !



TODO

- Data type aware TOAST
- JSONB Access Method graph-like access of k-v level ?
- Better indexing




References

- A sequence of rather simple and straightforward algorithms and storage optimizations based on GSON API, without any major changes to the JSONB API, have lead to significant performance improvements (10X speedup for SELECT and much cheaper UPDATEs):
 - Details http://www.sai.msu.su/~megera/postgres/talks/jsonb-pgvision-2021.pdf
 - Slides of this talk (PDF)
- Jsonb is ubiquitous and is continuously developing
 - JSON[B] Roadmap V2, Postgres Professional Webinar, Sep 17, 2020
 - JSON[B] Roadmap V3, Postgres Build 2020, Dec 8, 2020
- Про слонов в Питере http://laertsky.com/kopeikinn/kopeikinn_06.htm




Non-scientific comparison PG vs Mongo

- Seqscan, PG in-memory, Mongo (4.4.4): 16Gb (in-memory), 4GB (1/2)







PGConf.Russia 2021

